

On vertex-algebraic proof of complete reducibility of certain categories of modules for affine Lie algebras

Dražen Adamović
University of Zagreb, Croatia

Afternoon representation theory,
Metz, France
June 15, 2011



Znanstveni centar izvrsnosti
za kvantne i kompleksne sustave te
reprezentacije Liejevih algebri

Projekt KK.01.1.1.01.0004

Projekt je sufinancirala Europska unija iz
Europskog fonda za regionalni razvoj. Sadržaj
ovog seminara isključiva je odgovornost
Prirodoslovno-matematičkog fakulteta
Sveučilišta u Zagrebu te ne predstavlja
nužno stajalište Europske unije.



Europska unija
Zajedno do fondova EU



Operativni program
**KONKURENTNOST
I KOHEZIJA**



EUROPSKA UNIJA
Europski fond za regionalni razvoj

Complete reducibility in Representation Theory

- \mathfrak{g} simple Lie algebra over a field of char. zero, every finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module is semi-simple [Weyl theorem of complete reducibility]
- It does not hold for Lie superalgebras except $\mathfrak{g} = osp(1, 2n)$.
- $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -affine Lie algebra.
- The category of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -integrable modules in the category \mathcal{O} is semi-simple
- The Kazhdan-Lusztig category KL_k of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules is semi-simple for k -generic
- If V is a rational vertex operator algebra, then the category of V -modules is semi-simple.
- The Zhu's algebra of a rational vertex operator algebra is semi-simple.

Methods of proving complete reducibility for affine vertex algebras

- Lie theoretic approach, by proving that $Ext^1(M, N) = \{0\}$ in certain categories: Kac-Wakimoto[1988], Kac-Gorelik[2007]
- Vertex algebraic methods which use certain aspects of representation theory of VOAs:
[Adamović-Kac-Moseneder-Papi-Perše, IMRN 2020], [Arakawa, 2016]
- Tensor category (TC) approach, recent papers by Creutzig, McRea, Yang, using tensor product theory of VOA modules.

Definition of vertex algebra

Vertex algebra is a triple $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ where
 V complex vector space; $\mathbf{1}$ vacuum vector, Y is a linear map

$$Y(\cdot, z) : \quad V \rightarrow (\text{End } V)[[z, z^{-1}]];$$
$$a \mapsto Y(a, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1} \in (\text{End } V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$$

which satisfies the following conditions on $a, b \in V$:

Definition of a vertex algebra

$a_n b = 0$ for n sufficiently large.

$$[D, Y(a, z)] = Y(D(a), z) = \frac{d}{dz} Y(a, z),$$

where $D \in \text{End } V$ is defined by $D(a) = a_{-2}\mathbf{1}$.

$$Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = I_V.$$

$$Y(a, z)\mathbf{1} \in V[[z]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} Y(a, z)\mathbf{1} = a.$$

There exist $N \geq 0$ (which depends on a and b) such that

$$(z_1 - z_2)^N [Y(a, z_1), Y(b, z_2)] = 0 \quad (\text{locality}).$$

Representations of vertex algebras

Representation (module) for vertex algebra V is a pair (M, Y_M) where

M is a complex vector space, and $Y_M(\cdot, z)$ is a linear map

$$Y_M : V \rightarrow \text{End}(M)[[z, z^{-1}]], \quad a \mapsto Y_M(a, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1},$$

which satisfies certain axioms....

Virasoro vectors and conformal embeddings

- Let $Vir = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L(n) \oplus \mathbb{C}C$ be a Virasoro algebra, i.e.,
- $[L(m), L(n)] = (m - n)L(m + n) + \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \delta_{m+n,0} C$
- C is central element.
- Vector ω in vertex algebra V is called conformal (or Virasoro vector) of central charge c if components of the field

$$Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$$

define a representation of the Virasoro algebra of central charge c .

- Let V be a vertex algebra with conformal vector ω_V , U be its subalgebra with conformal vector ω_U . U is conformally embedded into V if

$$\omega_U = \omega_V.$$

Rational vertex algebras

- A vertex algebra V is called **rational** if it has finitely many irreducible modules and if the category of V -modules is semisimple.
- Rational vertex algebras correspond to **rational conformal field theory**

Examples:

Vertex algebras associated to integrable representations of affine Kac–Moody Lie algebras

Minimal models for Virasoro algebras, superconformal algebras, W -algebras

Affine Lie superalgebras

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{C} and let (\cdot, \cdot) be a nondegenerate super-symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} . The affine Lie superalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ associated with \mathfrak{g} is defined as

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$$

where K is the canonical central element and the Lie algebra structure is given by

$$[x \otimes t^n, y \otimes t^m] = [x, y] \otimes t^{n+m} + n(x, y)\delta_{n+m,0}K.$$

We will say that M is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level k if the central element K acts on M as a multiplication with k .

Affine vertex algebras

- $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ universal affine vertex algebra of level k ($k \neq -h^\vee$).
- As $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} + \mathbb{C}K)} \mathbf{1}$.
- $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ simple quotient of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$.
- Let $x_i, y_i, i = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}$ be dual bases of \mathfrak{g} with respect to form (\cdot, \cdot) , and let

$$\omega_{sug} = \frac{1}{2(k + h^\vee)} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} x_i(-1)y_i(-1)\mathbf{1} \in V_k(\mathfrak{g}).$$

- ω_{sug} Sugawara Virasoro vector in $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ of central charge

$$c(sug) = \frac{k \dim \mathfrak{g}}{k + h^\vee}.$$

Notations, terminology

- Let KL^k be the subcategory of \mathcal{O}^k consisting of modules M on which \mathfrak{g} -acts locally finite.
- Modules from KL^k are $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.
- Category \mathcal{O}_k : $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules which are in \mathcal{O}^k .
- Category KL_k : $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules which are in KL^k .
- Important problem: Classify irreducible modules in KL_k .
- For generic k : $KL^k = KL_k$
- Classified for k admissible by T. Arakawa (2015) (conjectured by D.A, A.Milas in 1995)
- \mathcal{O}_k is semi-simple for k -admissible.

Semi-simplicity at admissible levels

- Kac Wakimoto in 1988 define the notion of admissible levels and admissible highest weight modules
- Ex. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$. Level k is called admissible if $k + n = \frac{p'}{p}$ such that $p, p' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $(p, p') = 1$ and $p' \geq n$.
- For two admissible irreducible highest weight module M, N of level k , Kac-Wakimoto proved (using Lie-theoretic proof):

$$\text{Ext}^1(M, N) = \{0\}.$$

- VOA classification says that every irreducible modules at admissible level k is admissible.
- This proves that \mathcal{O}_k is semi-simple on admissible level.

Affine W algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$

- Choose root vectors e_θ and f_θ such that

$$[e_\theta, f_\theta] = x, [x, e_\theta] = e_\theta, [x, f_\theta] = -f_\theta.$$

- $\text{ad}(x)$ defines minimal $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

- Let $\mathfrak{g}^\natural = \{a \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \mid (a|x) = 0\}$.
- $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ is strongly generated by vectors
- $G^{\{u\}}$, $u \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$, of conformal weight $3/2$;
- $J^{\{a\}}$, $u \in \mathfrak{g}^\natural$ of conformal weight 1 ;
- ω conformal vector of central charge

$$c(\mathfrak{g}, k) = \frac{k \text{sdim} \mathfrak{g}}{k + h^\vee} - 6k + h^\vee - 4.$$

- $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$ simple quotient of $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta)$

Collapsing levels

- If $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) = \mathcal{V}_k(\mathfrak{g}^{\natural})$, we say that k is a collapsing level.
- If k is a collapsing level and if $\mathcal{V}_k(\mathfrak{g}^{\natural})$ is not affine vertex algebra at the critical level, then k is a conformal level.
- In [AKMPP, J. Algebra (2018)] we classified all collapsing levels.

- Interesting cases of collapsing levels:
 - 1 $\mathcal{V}_k(\mathfrak{g}^{\natural}) = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$.
 - 2 $\mathcal{V}_k(\mathfrak{g}^{\natural})$ is a rational vertex algebra.
 - 3 $\mathcal{V}_k(\mathfrak{g}^{\natural})$ is admissible affine vertex algebra.
 - 4 $\mathcal{V}_k(\mathfrak{g}^{\natural})$ is an affine vertex algebra at the critical level.

Collapsing levels

The following theorem was proved by Arakawa–Moreau (2018): Lie algebra case, AKMPP, J. Algebra (2018): Lie superalgebra case.

Theorem

$W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\theta) = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ iff we are in one of the following cases

- (1) $k = -\frac{h^\vee}{6} - 1$ and \mathfrak{g} is one of the Lie algebras of exceptional Deligne's series: A_2 , G_2 , D_4 , F_4 , E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{psl}(m|m)$ ($m \geq 2$), $\mathfrak{osp}(n+8|n)$ ($n \geq 2$), $\mathfrak{spo}(2|1)$, $F(4)$, $G(3)$
- (2) $k = -1/2$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{spo}(n|m)$, $n \geq 1$.

Identification of rational VOAs

In the following cases of collapsing levels we identify a rational VOA:

- $W_{-2}(\mathfrak{osp}(m|n), f_\theta) = V_{\frac{m-n}{4}-2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is rational for $m - n \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, $m - n \geq 8$.
- $W_{-4/3}(G_2, f_\theta) = V_1(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$;
- $W_{-3/4}(\mathfrak{spo}(2|3), f_\theta) = V_1(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$.
- $W_{-\frac{n+1}{n+2}}(D(2, 1; -\frac{n+1}{n+2}), f_\theta) = V_n(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Semi-simplicity in KL_k

We prove the following result on complete reducibility result in KL_k

Theorem (AKMPP, 2020)

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra and $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then KL_k is a semi-simple category in the following cases:

- *k is a collapsing level.*
- *$W_k(\mathfrak{g}, \theta)$ is a rational vertex operator algebra.*

Semi-simplicity in KL_k : Examples

The category KL_k is semi-simple in the following cases:

- $\mathfrak{g} = D_{\ell+1}$, $\mathfrak{g} = B_{\ell}$, $\ell \geq 2$, $k = -2$,
- $\mathfrak{g} = A_{\ell}$, $\ell \geq 3$, $k = -1$, $\mathfrak{g} = A_{2\ell-1}$, $\ell \geq 2$, $k = -\ell$.
- $\mathfrak{g} = D_{2\ell}$, $\ell \geq 3$, $k = -2\ell + 3$,
- $\mathfrak{g} = E_6$, $k = -4$, $\mathfrak{g} = E_7$, $k = -6$,
- $\mathfrak{g} = C_{\ell}$, $k = -1 - \ell/2$,
- $\mathfrak{g} = F_4$, $k = -3$.

On the proof of completely reducibility

- Collapsing levels from previous theorem are not admissible, and in the category \mathcal{O}_k we do have indecomposable modules and non-trivial extensions between irreducibles.
- We need to prove that $\text{Ext}^1(M, N) = \{0\}$ in the category KL_k .
- (C) The proof is reduced for proving that for any h.w. module M in KL_k , M is irreducible.
- We use QHR function H_{f_θ} which is exact and non-zero in KL_k for our collapsing levels. Then

$$H_{f_\theta}(V_k(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, \theta).$$

and for any module M in KL_k , we have that $H_{f_\theta}(M)$ is a $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, \theta)$ -module.

- Using RT of $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, \theta)$, and properties of functor H_{f_θ} , we check (C), implying complete-reducibility.

VOAs with exactly one ordinary module

Theorem (AKMPP, IMRN, 2020)

Assume that level k and the basic simple Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} satisfy one of the following conditions:

- (1) $k = -\frac{h^\vee}{6} - 1$ and \mathfrak{g} is one of the Lie algebras of exceptional Deligne's series $A_2, G_2, D_4, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8$, or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{psl}(m|m)$ ($m \geq 2$), $\mathfrak{osp}(n+8|n)$ ($n \geq 2$), $\mathfrak{spo}(2|1)$, $F(4)$, $G(3)$ (for both choices of θ);
- (2) $k = -h^\vee/2 + 1$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(n+4m+8, n)$, $n \geq 2, m \geq 0$.
- (3) $k = -h^\vee/2 + 1$ and $\mathfrak{g} = D_{2m}$, $m \geq 2$.

Then $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unique irreducible $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module in the category of ordinary $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.

The case of other nilpotent elements

- For any nilpotent element f of \mathfrak{g} , one defines universal \mathcal{W} -algebras $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ as $H_f(V^k(\mathfrak{g}))$. Consider simple quotient $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$.
- Level k is called collapsing if $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ collapses to its affine vertex subalgebra.
- In the case of admissible affine vertex algebras, such collapsing levels are investigated by Arakawa-van Ekeren-Moreau (2021). But at admissible levels we already know that $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is semi-simple.
- Question is what is happening beyond admissible levels?
- We have two problems:
 - (1) Construct and classify collapsing non-admissible $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$.
 - (2) Check condition (C) for modules in KL_k

The case of other nilpotent elements

- It is natural to use QHR $H_f(\cdot)$.
- In the case of minimal reduction $f = f_\theta$, we know **a priori** that $H_f(M)$ is non-zero for any module in KL_k . Moreover, we could classify modules in KL_k using properties of $H_f(M)$.
- Unfortunately, such results in unknown in general. The key property is to investigate vanishing and non-vanishing of $H_f(M)$.
- Quite surprisingly, we indeed have modules M in KL_k such that $H_f(M) = \{0\}$. So methods of [AKMPP, IMRN, 2020] can not be directly applied.
- Our method is (still very much work in progress in general):
 - (1) Classify irreducible $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules without using results of (non)vanishing of cohomology.
 - (2) Check directly (**a posteriori** to classification) when $H_f(M)$ is zero or non-zero, for projective covers of irreducible modules.
 - (3) Prove property (C) using (1), (2) and some symmetries of VOAs.

The case $k = -5/2$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(4)$

In a joint work with O. Perše, I. Vukorepa, we test this strategy:

- We classify irreducible modules by **hard calculations**.
- We show that $k = -5/2$ is a collapsing level for $f = f_{\text{suberg}}$.
- We get a family of irreducible modules M_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$H_f(M_n) \neq 0 \quad (n \geq 0), \quad H_f(M_n) = 0 \quad (n < 0).$$

- Using this and applying a VOA automorphism, we check the condition (C) and prove that KL_k is a semi-simple.
- Moreover, KL_k is a rigid, braided tensor category.

A relation with vertex tensor categories and conformal embeddings

- Recent results in the VOA theory by R. McRea and collaborators say: Assume that there is a conformal embeddings $V \hookrightarrow W$ of VOAs V and W such that the the category \mathcal{C} of V -modules admits the vertex algebraic braided tensor category (TC) structure of Huang-Lepowsky-Zhang. Then the simplicity of the category of W -modules, implies the simplicity of \mathcal{C} .
- Assume that we have conformal embeddings $V_k(\mathfrak{g}_0) \hookrightarrow V_k(\mathfrak{g})$, and $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is admissible vertex algebra.
- Under condition that the category KL_k for $V_k(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is a braided vertex tensor category, then KL_k is semi-simple.
- Problem is that we don't know [a priori](#) that $V_k(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ has the braided vertex tensor category structure. But it is expected that this conjecture can be proved in general.

A relation with vertex tensor categories and conformal embeddings

- Series of joint papers with Kac, Moseneder-Frajria, Papi, Perše give a family of examples for which we can apply previous arguments:
- $V_k(\mathfrak{gl}(2n)) = V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2n)) \otimes M(1) \hookrightarrow V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2n+1))$ at $k = -\frac{2n+1}{2}$, for $n \geq 2$.
- Since $V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2n+1))$ is admissible, we expect that KL_k for $V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2n))$ is a semi-simple for each $n \geq 2$.
Proved for $n = 2$ in [APV, 2021].

Using conformal embedding [AP, SIGMA, 2012]

$$V_{-1}(C_n) \hookrightarrow V_{-1}(\mathfrak{sl}(2n)),$$

we expect:

KL_k is semi-simple for $L_{-1}(C_n)$ and $n \geq 2$.

Thank you!