

Renormalization in Quantum Field Theory (after R. Borchers)

Estanislao HERSCOVICH
Université Grenoble Alpes

Afternoon Representation Theory
Institut Élie Cartan de Lorraine, Metz

December 17th, 2020

Basic data

From:

(i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;


Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a *smooth manifold*, provided with a *causal order* $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;  This allows to define spacelike-separated subsets of M !


Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;  A comm. k -algebra!

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a (super) vector bundle over M ;


Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;  A proj. f.g. A -module! [Serre-Swan]

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $*$ $\in \{ , c \}$;


Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$, where $\text{Vol}(M) = \Lambda^{\text{top}} T^* M \otimes \mathfrak{o}_M$ and $*$ $\in \{ , c \}$;

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $*$ $\in \{ , c \}$;  A proj. A -module!
[Finney-Rotman]

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $*$ $\in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $*$ $\in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:

1. $S_A X$;

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $*$ $\in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:

1. $S_A X (= \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} X^{\otimes_A n} / \sim)$;

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $*$ $\in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:

1. $S_A X$;  “Composite fields or Lagrangians”

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $* \in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:

1. $S_A X$;
2. $\mathcal{L}_* = V_* \otimes_A S_A X$;

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $*$ $\in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:

1. $S_A X$;
2. $\mathcal{L}_* = V_* \otimes_A S_A X$;  “Lagrangians densities”

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $* \in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:

1. $S_A X$;
2. $\mathcal{L}_* = V_* \otimes_A S_A X$;
3. $S\mathcal{L}_*$;

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $*$ $\in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:

1. $S_A X$;
2. $\mathcal{L}_* = V_* \otimes_A S_A X$;
3. $S\mathcal{L}_* (= \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_*^{\otimes \beta^n} / \sim)$;

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $* \in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:


1. $S_A X$;
2. $\mathcal{L}_* = V_* \otimes_A S_A X$;
3. $S\mathcal{L}_*$;  “Nonlocal actions (of cpt. supp. if $* = c$)”

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $* \in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:

1. $S_A X$;
2. $\mathcal{L}_* = V_* \otimes_A S_A X$;
3. $S\mathcal{L}_*$;  The mult. gives the time ordered product!

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $* \in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:

1. $S_A X$;
2. $\mathcal{L}_* = V_* \otimes_A S_A X$;
3. $S\mathcal{L}_*$;
4. $T_0(S\mathcal{L}_c)$;

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $*$ $\in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:

1. $S_A X$;
2. $\mathcal{L}_* = V_* \otimes_A S_A X$;
3. $S\mathcal{L}_*$;
4. $T_0(S\mathcal{L}_c) (= \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} (S\mathcal{L}_c)^{\tilde{\otimes} \beta 2m})$;

Basic data

From:

- (i) $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) M is a smooth manifold, provided with a causal order $\preceq \subseteq M \times M$, i.e. partial order that is closed;
- (iii) $A = C^\infty(M, k)$;
- (iv) E is a vector bundle over M ; so for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X = \Gamma(J^i E)$;
- (v) $V_* = \Gamma_*(\text{Vol}(M))$ and $* \in \{ , c \}$;

we consider:

1. $S_A X$;
2. $\mathcal{L}_* = V_* \otimes_A S_A X$;
3. $S\mathcal{L}_*$;
4. $T_0(S\mathcal{L}_c)$;  The mult. gives the composition product!

Basic definitions I: Propagators

A *propagator* Δ is a separately continuous bilinear map

$$\Delta : \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \times \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

Basic definitions I: Propagators

A propagator Δ is a separately continuous bilinear map

$$\Delta : \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \times \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

or, equivalently, an element of

$$\text{Hom}_{A \otimes_{\beta} A} (X \otimes_{\beta} X, V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} V'_c)$$

Basic definitions I: Propagators

A propagator Δ is a separately continuous bilinear map

$$\Delta : \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \times \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

or, equivalently, an element of

$$\text{Hom}_{A \otimes_{\beta} A} (X \otimes_{\beta} X, \underbrace{V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} V'_c}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Space of distributions } \mathcal{D}'(M \times M)}}$$

Basic definitions I: Propagators

A propagator Δ is a separately continuous bilinear map

$$\Delta : \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \times \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

or, equivalently, an element of

$$\text{Hom}_{A \otimes_{\beta} A} (X \otimes_{\beta} X, V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} V'_c) \simeq \mathcal{D}'(M \times M, (J^i E \boxtimes J^i E)^*).$$

Basic definitions I: Propagators

A propagator Δ is a separately continuous bilinear map

$$\Delta : \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \times \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

or, equivalently, an element of

$$\text{Hom}_{A \otimes_{\beta} A} (X \otimes_{\beta} X, V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} V'_c) \simeq \mathcal{D}'(M \times M, (J^i E \boxtimes J^i E)^*).$$

A propagator Δ is *precut* w.r.t. to proper closed convex cones $\mathcal{P}_p \subseteq T_p^* M$ ($p \in M$), if (roughly)

Basic definitions I: Propagators

A propagator Δ is a separately continuous bilinear map

$$\Delta : \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \times \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

or, equivalently, an element of

$$\text{Hom}_{A \otimes_{\beta} A} (X \otimes_{\beta} X, V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} V'_c) \simeq \mathcal{D}'(M \times M, (J^i E \boxtimes J^i E)^*).$$

A propagator Δ is precut w.r.t. to proper closed convex cones $\mathcal{P}_p \subseteq T_p^* M$ ($p \in M$), if

- (i) if $(v, w) \in \text{WF}_{(p,q)}(\Delta)$, for any $(p, q) \in M \times M$, then $-v \in \mathcal{P}_p$ and $w \in \mathcal{P}_q$;

Basic definitions I: Propagators

A propagator Δ is a separately continuous bilinear map

$$\Delta : \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \times \Gamma_c(\text{Vol}(M) \otimes J^i E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

or, equivalently, an element of

$$\text{Hom}_{A \otimes_{\beta} A}(X \otimes_{\beta} X, V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} V'_c) \simeq \mathcal{D}'(M \times M, (J^i E \boxtimes J^i E)^*).$$

A propagator Δ is precut w.r.t. to proper closed convex cones $\mathcal{P}_p \subseteq T_p^* M$ ($p \in M$), if

- (i) if $(v, w) \in \text{WF}_{(p,q)}(\Delta)$, for any $(p, q) \in M \times M$, then $-v \in \mathcal{P}_p$ and $w \in \mathcal{P}_q$;
- (ii) if $(v, w) \in \text{WF}_{(p,p)}(\Delta)$, for any $p \in M$, then $w = -v$.

Fact 1.

Any precut propagator Δ induces a unique map

$$\tilde{\Delta} \in \text{Hom}_{A \otimes_{\beta} A} (S_A X \otimes_{\beta} S_A X, V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} V'_c)$$

such that for all $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m \in X$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}(1_M, 1_M) &= 1_{M^2}, & \tilde{\Delta}(1_M, \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n) &= 0, \\ \tilde{\Delta}(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n, \tau_1 \dots \tau_m) &= \delta_{n,m} \sum_{\zeta \in S_n} \Delta(\sigma_1, \tau_{\zeta(1)}) \dots \Delta(\sigma_n, \tau_{\zeta(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Fact 1.

Any precut propagator Δ induces a unique map

$$\tilde{\Delta} \in \text{Hom}_{A \otimes_{\beta} A} (S_A X \otimes_{\beta} S_A X, V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} V'_c)$$

such that for all $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m \in X$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}(1_M, 1_M) &= 1_{M^2}, & \tilde{\Delta}(1_M, \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n) &= 0, \\ \tilde{\Delta}(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n, \tau_1 \dots \tau_m) &= \delta_{n,m} \sum_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n} \Delta(\sigma_1, \tau_{\zeta(1)}) \dots \Delta(\sigma_n, \tau_{\zeta(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Borcherds (roughly) claims that $\tilde{\Delta}$ extends to a (unique) Laplace pairing

$$\hat{\Delta} \in \text{Hom}_{\Sigma A \otimes_{\beta} \Sigma A} (S(S_A X) \otimes_{\beta} S(S_A X), \Sigma V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} \Sigma V'_c).$$

Fact 1.

Any precut propagator Δ induces a unique map

$$\tilde{\Delta} \in \text{Hom}_{A \otimes_{\beta} A} (S_A X \otimes_{\beta} S_A X, V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} V'_c)$$

such that for all $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m \in X$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}(1_M, 1_M) &= 1_{M^2}, & \tilde{\Delta}(1_M, \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n) &= 0, \\ \tilde{\Delta}(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n, \tau_1 \dots \tau_m) &= \delta_{n,m} \sum_{\zeta \in S_n} \Delta(\sigma_1, \tau_{\zeta(1)}) \dots \Delta(\sigma_n, \tau_{\zeta(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Borcherds (roughly) claims that $\tilde{\Delta}$ extends to a (unique) Laplace pairing

$$\hat{\Delta} \in \text{Hom}_{\Sigma A \otimes_{\beta} \Sigma A} (\underbrace{S(S_A X)}_{\text{A "bialgebra" ?}} \otimes_{\beta} S(S_A X), \underbrace{\Sigma V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} \Sigma V'_c}_{\text{An "algebra" ?}}).$$

A "bialgebra"?

An "algebra"?

Fact 1.

Any precut propagator Δ induces a unique map

$$\tilde{\Delta} \in \text{Hom}_{A \otimes_{\beta} A} (S_A X \otimes_{\beta} S_A X, V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} V'_c)$$

such that for all $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m \in X$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}(1_M, 1_M) &= 1_{M^2}, & \tilde{\Delta}(1_M, \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n) &= 0, \\ \tilde{\Delta}(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n, \tau_1 \dots \tau_m) &= \delta_{n,m} \sum_{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n} \Delta(\sigma_1, \tau_{\zeta(1)}) \dots \Delta(\sigma_n, \tau_{\zeta(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Borchers (roughly) claims that $\tilde{\Delta}$ extends to a (unique) Laplace pairing

$$\hat{\Delta} \in \text{Hom}_{\Sigma A \otimes_{\beta} \Sigma A} (S(S_A X) \otimes_{\beta} S(S_A X), \Sigma V'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} \Sigma V'_c).$$

Borchers then uses this map in his definition of *Feynman measure (FM) associated with Δ* , which is a cont. linear map $\omega : S\mathcal{L}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\omega(1) = 1$, and a recursiveness property involving $\hat{\Delta}$.

The (first) definition of a Laplace pairing

Given a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \tau)$, a unitary and counitary bialgebra $(C, \mu_C, \Delta_C, 1_C, \varepsilon_C)$ in \mathcal{C} and an unitary algebra $(A, \mu_A, 1_A)$, a *Laplace pairing* is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : C \otimes C \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle cc', d \rangle &= \langle c, d_{(1)} \rangle \cdot \langle c', d_{(2)} \rangle, & \langle c, dd' \rangle &= \langle c_{(1)}, d \rangle \cdot \langle c_{(2)}, d' \rangle, \\ \langle 1_C, c \rangle &= \langle c, 1_C \rangle = \varepsilon_C(c)1_A,\end{aligned}$$

for all $c, c', d, d' \in C$, where $\Delta_C(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$ and $\Delta_C(d) = d_{(1)} \otimes d_{(2)}$ denotes the coproduct of C .

The (first) definition of a Laplace pairing

Given a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \tau)$, a unitary and counitary bialgebra $(C, \mu_C, \Delta_C, 1_C, \varepsilon_C)$ in \mathcal{C} and an unitary algebra $(A, \mu_A, 1_A)$, a Laplace pairing is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : C \otimes C \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle cc', d \rangle &= \langle c, d_{(1)} \rangle \cdot \langle c', d_{(2)} \rangle, & \langle c, dd' \rangle &= \langle c_{(1)}, d \rangle \cdot \langle c_{(2)}, d' \rangle, \\ \langle 1_C, c \rangle &= \langle c, 1_C \rangle = \varepsilon_C(c)1_A,\end{aligned}$$

for all $c, c', d, d' \in C$, where $\Delta_C(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$ and $\Delta_C(d) = d_{(1)} \otimes d_{(2)}$ denotes the coproduct of C .


Questions: What is the sym. monoidal category in the claim of Borchers?

The (first) definition of a Laplace pairing

Given a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \tau)$, a unitary and counitary bialgebra $(C, \mu_C, \Delta_C, 1_C, \varepsilon_C)$ in \mathcal{C} and an unitary algebra $(A, \mu_A, 1_A)$, a Laplace pairing is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : C \otimes C \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle cc', d \rangle &= \langle c, d_{(1)} \rangle \cdot \langle c', d_{(2)} \rangle, & \langle c, dd' \rangle &= \langle c_{(1)}, d \rangle \cdot \langle c_{(2)}, d' \rangle, \\ \langle 1_C, c \rangle &= \langle c, 1_C \rangle = \varepsilon_C(c)1_A,\end{aligned}$$

for all $c, c', d, d' \in C$, where $\Delta_C(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$ and $\Delta_C(d) = d_{(1)} \otimes d_{(2)}$ denotes the coproduct of C .


Questions: What is the sym. monoidal category in the claim of Borchers?  None!

The (first) definition of a Laplace pairing

Given a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \tau)$, a unitary and counitary bialgebra $(C, \mu_C, \Delta_C, 1_C, \varepsilon_C)$ in \mathcal{C} and an unitary algebra $(A, \mu_A, 1_A)$, a Laplace pairing is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : C \otimes C \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle cc', d \rangle &= \langle c, d_{(1)} \rangle \cdot \langle c', d_{(2)} \rangle, & \langle c, dd' \rangle &= \langle c_{(1)}, d \rangle \cdot \langle c_{(2)}, d' \rangle, \\ \langle 1_C, c \rangle &= \langle c, 1_C \rangle = \varepsilon_C(c)1_A,\end{aligned}$$

for all $c, c', d, d' \in C$, where $\Delta_C(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$ and $\Delta_C(d) = d_{(1)} \otimes d_{(2)}$ denotes the coproduct of C .

Questions: What is the sym. monoidal category in the claim of Borchers?  **None!**


Reasons:

The (first) definition of a Laplace pairing

Given a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \tau)$, a unitary and counitary bialgebra $(C, \mu_C, \Delta_C, 1_C, \varepsilon_C)$ in \mathcal{C} and an unitary algebra $(A, \mu_A, 1_A)$, a Laplace pairing is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : C \otimes C \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle cc', d \rangle &= \langle c, d_{(1)} \rangle \cdot \langle c', d_{(2)} \rangle, & \langle c, dd' \rangle &= \langle c_{(1)}, d \rangle \cdot \langle c_{(2)}, d' \rangle, \\ \langle 1_C, c \rangle &= \langle c, 1_C \rangle = \varepsilon_C(c)1_A,\end{aligned}$$

for all $c, c', d, d' \in C$, where $\Delta_C(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$ and $\Delta_C(d) = d_{(1)} \otimes d_{(2)}$ denotes the coproduct of C .

Questions: What is the sym. monoidal category in the claim of Borchers?  **None!**

Reasons:


(1) $S(S_A \mathcal{L}_C)$ has a k -linear product, *i.e.* over \otimes ;

The (first) definition of a Laplace pairing

Given a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \tau)$, a unitary and counitary bialgebra $(C, \mu_C, \Delta_C, 1_C, \varepsilon_C)$ in \mathcal{C} and an unitary algebra $(A, \mu_A, 1_A)$, a Laplace pairing is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : C \otimes C \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle cc', d \rangle &= \langle c, d_{(1)} \rangle \cdot \langle c', d_{(2)} \rangle, & \langle c, dd' \rangle &= \langle c_{(1)}, d \rangle \cdot \langle c_{(2)}, d' \rangle, \\ \langle 1_C, c \rangle &= \langle c, 1_C \rangle = \varepsilon_C(c)1_A,\end{aligned}$$

for all $c, c', d, d' \in C$, where $\Delta_C(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$ and $\Delta_C(d) = d_{(1)} \otimes d_{(2)}$ denotes the coproduct of C .

Questions: What is the sym. monoidal category in the claim of Borchers?  None!

Reasons:


- (1) $S(S_A \mathcal{L}_C)$ has a k -linear product, *i.e.* over \otimes ;
- (2) $S(S_A \mathcal{L}_C)$ should have *a priori* a coproduct with respect to $\otimes_{\Sigma A}$ (or something similar).

The (first) definition of a Laplace pairing

Given a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \tau)$, a unitary and counitary bialgebra $(C, \mu_C, \Delta_C, 1_C, \varepsilon_C)$ in \mathcal{C} and an unitary algebra $(A, \mu_A, 1_A)$, a Laplace pairing is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : C \otimes C \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle cc', d \rangle &= \langle c, d_{(1)} \rangle \cdot \langle c', d_{(2)} \rangle, & \langle c, dd' \rangle &= \langle c_{(1)}, d \rangle \cdot \langle c_{(2)}, d' \rangle, \\ \langle 1_C, c \rangle &= \langle c, 1_C \rangle = \varepsilon_C(c)1_A,\end{aligned}$$

for all $c, c', d, d' \in C$, where $\Delta_C(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$ and $\Delta_C(d) = d_{(1)} \otimes d_{(2)}$ denotes the coproduct of C .

Questions: What is the sym. monoidal category in the claim of Borchers?  None!

Reasons:

- (1) $S(S_A \mathcal{L}_C)$ has a k -linear product, i.e. over \otimes ;
- (2) $S(S_A \mathcal{L}_C)$ should have *a priori* a coproduct with respect to $\otimes_{\Sigma A}$ (or something similar).  It doesn't have!

The solution: 2-monoidal categories

A *double monoidal category* is a tuple $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$, where $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$ and $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$ are monoidal categories.

The solution: 2-monoidal categories

A double monoidal category is a tuple $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$, where $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$ and $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$ are monoidal categories.

A *2-monoidal category* [Aguiar-Mahajan, '10; Batanin-Markl, '12; Street, '12] is a double monoidal category provided with

$$\text{sh}_{A,B,C,D} : (A \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} D) \rightarrow (A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} (B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} D)$$

in \mathcal{C} and three morphisms

$$\mu_{\boxtimes} : I_{\otimes} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\otimes} \rightarrow I_{\otimes}, \quad \Delta_{\otimes} : I_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow I_{\boxtimes} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\boxtimes} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu : I_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow I_{\otimes},$$

in \mathcal{C} satisfying several “natural” compatibility conditions.

The solution: 2-monoidal categories

A double monoidal category is a tuple $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$, where $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$ and $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$ are monoidal categories.

A 2-monoidal category [Aguiar-Mahajan, '10; Batanin-Markl, '12; Street, '12] is a double monoidal category provided with

$$\text{sh}_{A,B,C,D} : (A \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} D) \rightarrow (A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} (B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} D)$$

in \mathcal{C} and three morphisms

$$\mu_{\boxtimes} : I_{\otimes} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\otimes} \rightarrow I_{\otimes}, \quad \Delta_{\otimes} : I_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow I_{\boxtimes} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\boxtimes} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu : I_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow I_{\otimes},$$

in \mathcal{C} satisfying several “natural” compatibility conditions.  It means that it is a pseudomonoid in $\ell(\text{Cat})$.

The solution: 2-monoidal categories

A double monoidal category is a tuple $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$, where $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$ and $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$ are monoidal categories.

A 2-monoidal category [Aguiar-Mahajan, '10; Batanin-Markl, '12; Street, '12] is a double monoidal category provided with

$$\text{sh}_{A,B,C,D} : (A \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} D) \rightarrow (A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} (B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} D)$$

in \mathcal{C} and three morphisms

$$\mu_{\boxtimes} : I_{\otimes} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\otimes} \rightarrow I_{\otimes}, \quad \Delta_{\otimes} : I_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow I_{\boxtimes} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\boxtimes} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu : I_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow I_{\otimes},$$

in \mathcal{C} satisfying several “natural” compatibility conditions. The 2-monoidal category is *symmetric* if $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$ has a symmetric twist τ compatible with sh and $(I_{\boxtimes}, \Delta_{\otimes}, \nu)$.

The solution: 2-monoidal categories

A double monoidal category is a tuple $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$, where $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$ and $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$ are monoidal categories.

A 2-monoidal category [Aguiar-Mahajan, '10; Batanin-Markl, '12; Street, '12] is a double monoidal category provided with

$$\text{sh}_{A,B,C,D} : (A \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} D) \rightarrow (A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} (B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} D)$$

in \mathcal{C} and three morphisms

$$\mu_{\boxtimes} : I_{\otimes} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\otimes} \rightarrow I_{\otimes}, \quad \Delta_{\otimes} : I_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow I_{\boxtimes} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\boxtimes} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu : I_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow I_{\otimes},$$

in \mathcal{C} satisfying several “natural” compatibility conditions. The 2-monoidal category is symmetric if $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$ has a symmetric twist τ compatible with sh and $(I_{\boxtimes}, \Delta_{\otimes}, \nu)$.

Example 2.

Any symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \tau)$ is 2-monoidal with $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}} = \otimes = \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}$, $I_{\otimes} = I = I_{\boxtimes}$ and $\text{sh} = \text{id} \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}$.

Bialgebras in 2-monoidal categories

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ be a 2-monoidal category.

Bialgebras in 2-monoidal categories

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ be a 2-monoidal category. A *(unitary and counitary) bialgebra* relative to the 2-monoidal category [Aguiar-Mahajan, '10] is an object B in \mathcal{C} provided with:

(1) a unitary alg. struct. (B, μ, η) w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$;

Bialgebras in 2-monoidal categories

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ be a 2-monoidal category. A (unitary and counitary) bialgebra relative to the 2-monoidal category

[Aguiar-Mahajan, '10] is an object B in \mathcal{C} provided with:

- (1) a unitary alg. struct. (B, μ, η) w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$;
- (2) a counitary coalg. struct. (B, Δ, ε) w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$;

Bialgebras in 2-monoidal categories

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ be a 2-monoidal category. A (unitary and counitary) bialgebra relative to the 2-monoidal category

[Aguiar-Mahajan, '10] is an object B in \mathcal{C} provided with:

- (1) a unitary alg. struct. (B, μ, η) w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$;
- (2) a counitary coalg. struct. (B, Δ, ε) w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$;

Bialgebras in 2-monoidal categories

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ be a 2-monoidal category. A (unitary and counitary) bialgebra relative to the 2-monoidal category [Aguiar-Mahajan, '10] is an object B in \mathcal{C} provided with:

- (1) a unitary alg. struct. (B, μ, η) w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$;
 - (2) a counitary coalg. struct. (B, Δ, ε) w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$;
- such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & B & \\
 \mu \nearrow & & \searrow \Delta \\
 B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} B & & B \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B \\
 \Delta \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \Delta \searrow & & \nearrow \mu \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mu \\
 (B \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (B \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B) & \xrightarrow{\text{sh}} & (B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} B) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} (B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} B)
 \end{array}$$

Bialgebras in 2-monoidal categories (cont.)

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} B & \xrightarrow{\mu} & B \\
 \varepsilon \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \varepsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon \\
 I_{\otimes} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\otimes} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\boxtimes}} & I_{\otimes}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & B & \\
 \eta \nearrow & & \searrow \varepsilon \\
 I_{\boxtimes} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & I_{\otimes}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & B \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B \\
 \eta \uparrow & & \uparrow \eta \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \eta \\
 I_{\boxtimes} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\otimes}} & I_{\boxtimes} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\boxtimes}
 \end{array}$$

commute.

Bialgebras in 2-monoidal categories (cont.)

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} B & \xrightarrow{\mu} & B \\
 \varepsilon \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \varepsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon \\
 I_{\otimes} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\otimes} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\boxtimes}} & I_{\otimes}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & B & \\
 \eta \nearrow & & \searrow \varepsilon \\
 I_{\boxtimes} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & I_{\otimes}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & B \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B \\
 \eta \uparrow & & \uparrow \eta \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \eta \\
 I_{\boxtimes} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\otimes}} & I_{\boxtimes} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\boxtimes}
 \end{array}$$

commute.

Still an issue: there is no definition of Laplace pairing for bialgebras in 2-monoidal categories!

Solution: Framed 2-monoidal categories

A symmetric 2-monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \tau, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ is called *framed* [H., '17] if there are:

- (a) a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}', \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'}, I'_{\boxtimes}, \tau')$;

Solution: Framed 2-monoidal categories

A symmetric 2-monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \tau, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ is called framed [H., '17] if there are:

- (a) a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}', \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'}, I'_{\boxtimes}, \tau')$;
- (b) a faithful functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ that is symmetric lax monoidal w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \tau)$ (and coherence maps φ_0 and φ_2), and strict monoidal w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$ (and coherence maps ψ_0 and ψ_2);

Solution: Framed 2-monoidal categories

A symmetric 2-monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \tau, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ is called framed [H., '17] if there are:

- (a) a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}', \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'}, I'_{\boxtimes}, \tau')$;
- (b) a faithful functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ that is symmetric lax monoidal w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \tau)$ (and coherence maps φ_0 and φ_2), and strict monoidal w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$ (and coherence maps ψ_0 and ψ_2);

Solution: Framed 2-monoidal categories

A symmetric 2-monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \tau, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ is called framed [H., '17] if there are:

- (a) a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}', \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'}, I'_{\boxtimes}, \tau')$;
- (b) a faithful functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ that is symmetric lax monoidal w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \tau)$ (and coherence maps φ_0 and φ_2), and strict monoidal w.r.t. $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes})$ (and coherence maps ψ_0 and ψ_2); such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(A) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(B) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(D) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2(A,B) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \varphi_2(C,D)} & F(A \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} D) \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_{F(A)} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \tau'_{\boxtimes}(F(B), F(C)) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \text{id}_{F(D)} & & \downarrow \psi_2(A \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B, C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} D) \\
 F(A) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(B) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(D) & & F((A \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} B) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} D)) \\
 \downarrow \wr \psi_2(A,C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \psi_2(B,D) & & \downarrow F(\text{sh}_{A,B,C,D}) \\
 F(A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} D) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2(A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C, D \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} D)} & F((A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} (B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} D))
 \end{array}$$

commutes for all objects A, B, C and D in \mathcal{C} ,

Framed 2-monoidal categories (cont.)

as well as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 I'_{\boxtimes} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} I'_{\boxtimes} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\ell'_{I'_{\boxtimes}}} & I'_{\boxtimes} \\
 \downarrow \varphi_0 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \varphi_0 & & \downarrow \varphi_0 \\
 F(I_{\otimes}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(I_{\otimes}) & & F(I_{\otimes}) \\
 \downarrow \wr_{\psi_2(I_{\otimes}, I_{\otimes})} & & \\
 F(I_{\otimes}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\otimes} & \xrightarrow{F(\mu_{\boxtimes})} & F(I_{\otimes})
 \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 I'_{\boxtimes} & & \\
 \downarrow \psi_0 & \searrow \varphi_0 & \\
 F(I_{\boxtimes}) & & F(I_{\otimes}) \\
 & \nearrow F(\nu) & \\
 & & F(I_{\otimes})
 \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 I'_{\boxtimes} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\psi_0} & F(I_{\boxtimes}) \\
 \downarrow (\ell'_{I'_{\boxtimes}})^{-1} \wr & & \downarrow F(\Delta_{\otimes}) \\
 I'_{\boxtimes} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} I'_{\boxtimes} & & F(I_{\otimes}) \\
 \downarrow \wr_{\psi_0 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \psi_0} & & \\
 F(I_{\boxtimes}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(I_{\boxtimes}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2(I_{\boxtimes}, I_{\boxtimes})} & F(I_{\boxtimes} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\boxtimes})
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Framed 2-monoidal categories (cont.)

as well as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 I'_{\boxtimes} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} I'_{\boxtimes} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\ell'_{I'_{\boxtimes}}} & I'_{\boxtimes} \\
 \downarrow \varphi_0 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \varphi_0 & & \downarrow \varphi_0 \\
 F(I_{\otimes}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(I_{\otimes}) & & F(I_{\otimes}) \\
 \downarrow \wr_{\psi_2(I_{\otimes}, I_{\otimes})} & & \\
 F(I_{\otimes} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\otimes}) & \xrightarrow{F(\mu_{\boxtimes})} & F(I_{\otimes})
 \end{array} & &
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 I'_{\boxtimes} & & \\
 \downarrow \psi_0 & \searrow \varphi_0 & \\
 F(I_{\boxtimes}) & & F(I_{\otimes}) \\
 & \nearrow F(\nu) & \\
 & & F(I_{\otimes})
 \end{array} & &
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 I'_{\boxtimes} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\psi_0} & F(I_{\boxtimes}) \\
 \downarrow (\ell'_{I'_{\boxtimes}})^{-1} \wr & & \downarrow F(\Delta_{\otimes}) \\
 I'_{\boxtimes} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} I'_{\boxtimes} & & F(I_{\otimes}) \\
 \downarrow \wr_{\psi_0 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \psi_0} & & \\
 F(I_{\boxtimes}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(I_{\boxtimes}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2(I_{\boxtimes}, I_{\boxtimes})} & F(I_{\boxtimes} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\boxtimes})
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Theorem 3 (H., '17).

Let A be a unit. comm. alg. in a cocomplete sym. mon. cat. $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\mathcal{C}}, \tau)$ such that $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}$ commutes with colimits on each side. Let $B = {}^{\mu}TA$ be the comm. counit. bialg. in \mathcal{C} with deconcatenation coproduct and the tensor-wise product of A . Then, the category ${}_B\text{Mod}(\mathcal{C})$ of (firm) modules over B in \mathcal{C} has natural structure of framed 2-monoidal category, where \otimes is given by \otimes_B and \boxtimes by $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Laplace pairings in framed 2-monoidal categories

Consider

- (i) $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \tau, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ a sym. 2-monoidal category framed inside of $(\mathcal{C}', \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'}, I'_{\boxtimes}, \tau')$ via the functor F ;

Laplace pairings in framed 2-monoidal categories

Consider

- (i) $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \tau, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ a sym. 2-monoidal category framed inside of $(\mathcal{C}', \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'}, I'_{\boxtimes}, \tau')$ via the functor F ;
- (ii) a unit. and counit. bialgebra $(C, \mu_C, \eta_C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ relative to \mathcal{C} ;

Laplace pairings in framed 2-monoidal categories

Consider

- (i) $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \tau, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ a sym. 2-monoidal category framed inside of $(\mathcal{C}', \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'}, I'_{\boxtimes}, \tau')$ via the functor F ;
- (ii) a unit. and counit. bialgebra $(C, \mu_C, \eta_C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ relative to \mathcal{C} ;
- (iii) a unit. algebra $(A, \mu_{A,\ell}, \eta_{A,\ell})$ in $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$.

Laplace pairings in framed 2-monoidal categories

Consider

- (i) $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \tau, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ a sym. 2-monoidal category framed inside of $(\mathcal{C}', \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'}, I'_{\boxtimes}, \tau')$ via the functor F ;
- (ii) a unit. and counit. bialgebra $(C, \mu_C, \eta_C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ relative to \mathcal{C} ;
- (iii) a unit. algebra $(A, \mu_{A,\ell}, \eta_{A,\ell})$ in $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$.

Laplace pairings in framed 2-monoidal categories

Consider

- (i) $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes}, \tau, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\boxtimes}, \text{sh})$ a sym. 2-monoidal category framed inside of $(\mathcal{C}', \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'}, I'_{\boxtimes}, \tau')$ via the functor F ;
- (ii) a unit. and counit. bialgebra $(C, \mu_C, \eta_C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ relative to \mathcal{C} ;
- (iii) a unit. algebra $(A, \mu_{A,\ell}, \eta_{A,\ell})$ in $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, I_{\otimes})$.

A *left Laplace pairing* [H., '17] on C relative to \mathcal{C} and with values on A is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F(C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(C) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{id}_{F(C)} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \psi_2(C,C)} & F(C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{F(C)} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(\mu_C)} & F(C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(C) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\psi_2(C,C)} & F(C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) \\
 \downarrow F(\Delta_C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \text{id}_{F(C)} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \text{id}_{F(C)} & & & & & & \downarrow F(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \\
 F(C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(C) & & & & & & F(A) \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_{F(C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} C)} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \psi_2(C,C) & & & & & & \uparrow F(\mu_{A,\ell}) \\
 F(C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}'} F(C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\psi_2(C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} C, C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} C)} & F((C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (C \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} C)) & \xrightarrow{F(\text{sh}_{C,C,C,C})} & F((C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} (C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C)) & \xrightarrow{F(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)} & F(A \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} A)
 \end{array}$$

commutes in \mathcal{C}'

Laplace pairings in framed 2-monoidal categories (cont.)

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} I_{\boxtimes} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \eta_C} & C \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} C \\
 \downarrow r_C^{\boxtimes} & & \downarrow \langle , \rangle \\
 C & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_C} I_{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\eta_{A,\ell}} & A
 \end{array}$$

commutes in \mathcal{C} .

Solution to both problems

Theorem 4 (H., '17).

The construction $T(S_AX)$ has a natural structure of bialgebra relative to the framed sym. 2-monoidal category ${}_{\mu_{TA}}\text{Mod}$, whose product is given by concatenation and whose coproduct is induced by that of S_AX (using the interchange law). Moreover, $\tilde{\Delta}$ extends to a left (resp., right) Laplace pairing


$$\hat{\Delta} \in \text{Hom}_{\mu_{TA} \otimes_{\beta} \mu_{TA}} \left(T(S_AX) \otimes_{\beta} T(S_AX), TV'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} TV'_c \right).$$

Solution to both problems

Theorem 4 (H., '17).

The construction $T(S_AX)$ has a natural structure of bialgebra relative to the framed sym. 2-monoidal category ${}_{\mu TA} \text{Mod}$, whose product is given by concatenation and whose coproduct is induced by that of S_AX (using the interchange law). Moreover, $\tilde{\Delta}$ extends to a left (resp., right) Laplace pairing

$$\hat{\Delta} \in \text{Hom}_{\mu TA \otimes_{\beta} \mu TA} (T(S_AX) \otimes_{\beta} T(S_AX), \underbrace{TV'_c \tilde{\otimes}_{\beta} TV'_c}).$$

It has two different algebra structures! 

The construction of the QFT

Given a local precut propagator Δ , let \mathcal{F}_Δ be the set of all FM associated with Δ .

The construction of the QFT

Given a local precut propagator Δ , let \mathcal{F}_Δ be the set of all FM associated with Δ .

Define the *renormalization group* \mathcal{G} as the subgroup of the group of automorphisms of the cocomm. coalgebra $S_A \mathcal{L}$ in ${}_A \text{Mod}$ that are $S_A X$ -colinear.

The construction of the QFT

Given a local precut propagator Δ , let \mathcal{F}_Δ be the set of all FM associated with Δ .

Define the renormalization group \mathcal{G} as the subgroup of the group of automorphisms of the cocomm. coalgebra $S_A \mathcal{L}$ in ${}_A \text{Mod}$ that are $S_A X$ -colinear.

Theorem 5 (Borcherds, '11; H., '17).

The group \mathcal{G} has a natural action on \mathcal{F}_Δ that is simple and transitive.

The construction of the QFT

Given a local precut propagator Δ , let \mathcal{F}_Δ be the set of all FM associated with Δ .

Define the renormalization group \mathcal{G} as the subgroup of the group of automorphisms of the cocomm. coalgebra $S_A \mathcal{L}$ in ${}_A \text{Mod}$ that are $S_A X$ -colinear.

Theorem 5 (Borcherds, '11; H., '17).

The group \mathcal{G} has a natural action on \mathcal{F}_Δ that is simple and transitive.

Theorem 6 (Borcherds, '11; H., '17).

Under further assumptions on Δ , namely Δ is of cut type and manageable, \mathcal{F}_Δ is nonempty.

The construction of the QFT (cont.)

Fact 7 (Borchers, '11; H.).

Given any Feynman measure $\omega : S\mathcal{L}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, there exists a unique extension to a continuous linear map $\check{\omega} : T(S\mathcal{L}_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying a certain recursiveness property.

The construction of the QFT (cont.)

Fact 7 (Borcherds, '11; H.).

Given any Feynman measure $\omega : S\mathcal{L}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, there exists a unique extension to a continuous linear map $\check{\omega} : T(S\mathcal{L}_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying a certain recursiveness property.

The restriction $\mathring{\omega}$ of $\check{\omega}$ to $T_0(S\mathcal{L}_c)$ is called a *free QFT*.

The construction of the QFT (cont.)

Fact 7 (Borchers, '11; H.).

Given any Feynman measure $\omega : S\mathcal{L}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, there exists a unique extension to a continuous linear map $\check{\omega} : T(S\mathcal{L}_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying a certain recursiveness property.

The restriction $\mathring{\omega}$ of $\check{\omega}$ to $T_0(S\mathcal{L}_c)$ is called a free QFT.

Theorem 8 (Borchers, '11; H.).

The restriction $\mathring{\omega}$ of the canonical extension $\check{\omega} : T(S\mathcal{L}_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of a FM associated to a local manageable propagator of cut type is equal on commutators of elements of $T_0(S\mathcal{L}_c)$ whose supports are spacelike-separated.

The construction of the QFT (cont.)

Fact 9.

The bialgebra $S\mathcal{L}_c$ acts naturally on the algebra $T_0(S\mathcal{L}_c)$.

The construction of the QFT (cont.)

Fact 9.

The bialgebra $S\mathcal{L}_c$ acts naturally on the algebra $T_0(S\mathcal{L}_c)$.

Hence, given $L_I \in S\mathcal{L}_c \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ an infinitesimal interaction Lagrangian term, we may exponentiate its action to get an automorphism $\exp(iL_I)$ of $T_0(S\mathcal{L}_c \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\lambda]])$.

The construction of the QFT (cont.)

Fact 9.

The bialgebra $S\mathcal{L}_c$ acts naturally on the algebra $T_0(S\mathcal{L}_c)$.

Hence, given $L_I \in S\mathcal{L}_c \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ an infinitesimal interaction Lagrangian term, we may exponentiate its action to get an automorphism $\exp(iL_I)$ of $T_0(S\mathcal{L}_c \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\lambda]])$.

An *interacting QFT* is the continuous and $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ -linear map $\Omega_I : T_0(S\mathcal{L}_c \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$ given as the composition of $\hat{\omega}$ and $\exp(iL_I)$.